Inclusion Criteria

- Birth to 18 years, with a postmenstrual age of at least 40 weeks, with presumed or definite UTI (not a recurrent UTI)

Exclusion Criteria

- Prior history of UTI
- Chronic kidney disease as defined by estimated glomerular filtration rate (GFR) by the original Schwartz formula $< 80 \text{ mL/min/1.73m}^2$
- Genitourinary abnormalities, including: previous genitourinary surgery (other than circumcision), neurogenic bladder conditions, known obstructive uropathy, known high-grade vesicoureteral reflux (Grades IV-V)
- Septic shock
- Presumed or definite meningitis
- Conditions requiring Intensive Care Unit care
- Immunocompromised host
- Pregnancy
- Recent history of sexual abuse

Atypical Versus Typical UTI

Atypical UTI is defined as a UTI with one of the following properties:

- Seriously ill
- Poor urine flow: oliguria not due to dehydration, or urinary retention; urine output less than 1 ml/kg/hour
- Abdominal or bladder mass
- Elevated creatinine (eGFR $< 80 \text{ ml/min/1.73 m}^2$)
- Septicemia
- Failure to respond to treatment with appropriate antibiotics within 48 hours
- Infection caused by organism other than Escherichia coli

Typical UTI is defined as a UTI without any of these conditions.
Urinary Tract Infection (UTI) v8.0: Diagnosis

Decision to Test: Infants
- Febrile or prolonged jaundice
- > 8 days of age: irritable, emesis, failure to thrive

Obtain Urinalysis and Urine Culture by the Following Method(s)
- Infants: Catheterization or suprapubic aspiration (SPA)
- Non-Toilet Trained Children: 2-6 months: consider SPA; otherwise catheterized
- Toilet Trained Children: Midstream clean catch
- Adolescents: Midstream clean catch + ‘dirty’ urine for Gonococcus (GC)/Chlamydia (Chl)

Decision to Test: Non-Toilet Trained Children
- Girl or uncircumcised boy: need ≥ 1 of the following.
- Circumcised boy: need ≥ 2 of the following OR suprapubic tenderness alone.
  - Ill appearing, suprapubic tenderness, fever > 24 hrs, fever > 39°C, fever without source

Decision to Test: Toilet Trained Children & Adolescents
- Girl or uncircumcised boy: need ≥ 1 of the following.
- Circumcised boy: need ≥ 2 of the following.
  - Abdominal pain, back pain, dysuria, frequency, new-onset incontinence, fever > 40°C (particularly if no source)

Additional Studies: Infants
- 0-60 days of age: refer to Neonatal Fever Pathway

Additional Studies: Non-Toilet Trained
- If ill appearing, consider blood culture and CSF studies

Additional Studies: Toilet Trained
- If ill appearing, consider blood culture

Additional Studies: Adolescents
- HSV testing: Culture visible lesions, or cervical culture as indicated.
- If GC/Chl positive: Consider Syphilis Screen
- Annual HIV testing
- Consider pregnancy testing in girls

Test of cure urine cultures not recommended

Incorporation of the UA in UTI diagnosis

Return to Home

For questions concerning this pathway, contact: UTI@seattlechildrens.org
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# Urinary Tract Infection (UTI) v8.0: Outpatient Management

## Admit Criteria

### All Age Categories
- Toxic appearance
- Dehydration requiring IV fluids
- Adherence risk as defined by: unable to take previously prescribed regimen, no reliable caregivers at home, inability to follow recommended care plan, or at risk for loss to follow-up
- Failed outpatient therapy as defined by: persistent clinical symptoms beyond 48 hours on appropriate therapy, or inability to maintain hydration status

### Infants
- Admit all patients up to 30 days of age with presumed or definite UTI
- Admit all febrile patients 31-60 days of age with presumed or definite UTI

### Adolescents
- Adherence risk is not an admission criteria for adolescents with cystitis

---

### Patient meets admit criteria?

#### No, begin outpatient management for presumed UTI

- **Outpatient Management: Nonfebrile Infants (31-60 days)**
  - Give ceftriaxone IM OR cephalaxin PO
  - Follow up with primary care provider within 24 hours

#### Yes, begin inpatient management for presumed UTI

- **Outpatient Management: Non-Toilet Trained Children, Toilet Trained Children & Adolescents**
  - Give cephalaxin PO, OR trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole PO (if cephalosporin allergy) OR ceftriaxone IM

---

**Culture results follow-up**
- Call family to review culture results
- Narrow coverage when sensitivities return

**Criteria for Urology Referral**

---

**For questions concerning this pathway, contact:** UTI@seattlechildrens.org

© 2018 Seattle Children’s Hospital, all rights reserved. Medical Disclaimer

**Last Update:** October 2018  
**Next Expected Review:** April 2020
**Urinary Tract Infection (UTI) v8.0: Inpatient Management**

**Inpatient Management: Infants (0-30 days)**
- Give IV ampicillin + gentamicin OR ampicillin + cefotaxime
- Give IV antibiotics 7 days minimum, then 7 days PO; 14 days total
- Switch to PO at 7 days if responding and after identification and sensitivities return; narrow coverage if possible

**Inpatient Management: Infants (31-60 days)**
- Give IV ceftriaxone OR ampicillin + gentamicin if cocci/enterococcus is suspected
- Give IV antibiotics until afebrile X 24 hrs (minimum 36 hours IV with negative initial blood cultures)
- Switch to PO if responding after identification and sensitivities return; narrow coverage if possible
- Total duration of antibiotics: 14 days

**Inpatient Management: Non-Toilet Trained Children, Toilet Trained Children & Adolescents**
- Give IV ceftriaxone OR ampicillin + gentamicin if cocci/enterococcus is suspected
- Switch to PO if responding after identification and sensitivities return; narrow coverage if possible
- Total duration of antibiotics: adolescents (7 days), toilet trained (7-14 days), non-toilet trained (10-14 days), adolescent with cystitis (3 days)

---

**Discharge Criteria**
- **General discharge criteria for all patients**
  - Clinical response to therapy
  - Able to maintain hydration status
  - Social risk factors assessed and addressed
  - Family education provided/completed
  - Urine culture is negative on final report OR urine culture is positive and patient is on targeted antibiotics
  - Other studies for bacteremia and meningitis are negative (if applicable), or if bacteremic have completed appropriate course of IV antibiotic therapy
  - If indicated, renal ultrasound completed or pre-natal ultrasound reviewed
  - If indicated, VCUG completed or scheduled
  - Consultation (e.g., urology, nephrology, ID) completed if desired

**Infants: General criteria and**
- Afebrile (T < 38°C) for 24 hours
- Tolerating planned home therapy

**Non-toilet trained and toilet trained children**
- Afebrile (T < 38°C) for 12 hours

**Adolescents**
- Completion of or plan for additional sexually transmitted infection (STI) testing as indicated

---

**Criteria for Urology Referral**
- Positive blood culture
  - If **Bacteremic**, give 7 days IV + 7 days PO
  - May consider early transition if:
    - clinically back to baseline
    - afebrile x 24hrs
    - repeat blood culture negative x 48hrs
    - good oral option available
  - Consider ID consult in cases of atypical UTI, early transition to orals in children 1-6 months old, or any other ID related questions

---
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Urinary Tract Infection (UTI) v8.0: Imaging Recommendations

**Imaging Recommendations: Infants and Non-toilet Trained Children**

**Renal Ultrasound (RUS)**
- Can skip RUS if high quality third trimester U/S is normal and < 1 month of age
- If stones are present, refer to Nephrolithiasis Pathway

**VCUG** if
- Atypical UTI (seriously ill, poor urine flow (< 1 ml/kg/hr), mass, increased creatinine (eGFR < 80), treatment failure, non-E. coli) OR
- RUS shows: hydronephrosis (pelvocaliectasis), renal parenchymal loss, kidney size discrepancies independent of SFU grade

VCUG recommended when patient is stable, >24 hours afebrile, and prior to the end of antibiotic therapy if possible

**Antibiotic prophylaxis not routinely recommended for Gr I-III vesicoureteral reflux**

**Imaging Recommendations: Toilet-trained Children and Adolescents**

**Renal Ultrasound (RUS)**
- For boys with first UTI, or girls with atypical UTI
- If stones are present, refer to Nephrolithiasis Pathway

**VCUG** if
- Atypical UTI (seriously ill, poor urine flow (< 1 ml/kg/hr), mass, increased creatinine (eGFR < 80), treatment failure, non-E. coli) OR
- RUS shows: hydronephrosis (pelvocaliectasis), renal parenchymal loss, kidney size discrepancies independent of SFU grade

VCUG recommended when patient is stable, >24 hours afebrile, and prior to the end of antibiotic therapy if possible

**Give antibiotic prophylaxis prior to VCUG**

**Return to Home**

For questions concerning this pathway, contact: UTI@seattlechildrens.org

© 2018 Seattle Children's Hospital, all rights reserved. Medical Disclaimer
Concerning signs/ symptoms
- Persistent fever
- Persistent vomiting and/or abdominal pain
- Persistent dysuria
- In patients with GU abnormalities or recurrent UTIs – persistence of presenting complaints typical of prior UTIs

UCx negative
OR
UCx mixed flora
OR
UCx <50K CFU from cath/SPA
OR
UCx <100K CFU from clean catch sample

Not on antibiotics
- No call needed

On antibiotics
- Determine if concerning s/sx

On antibiotics and no concerning s/sx
- Call family with results
- Stop antibiotics
- "Though the preliminary test showed your child might have a UTI, the confirmatory culture is negative and your child does NOT have a UTI at this time."

On antibiotics with concerning s/sx
- Call family with results
- Continue abx and refer to PCP ASAP
- "The confirmatory culture is negative but your child is still symptomatic so your child needs to be re-evaluated by her/his doctor to make sure s/he is on the right therapy."

UCx positive:
≥ 100K CFU from clean catch; OR
≥ 50K CFU from cath/SPA

Review susceptibilities
- Determine if targeted therapy is needed

On correct abx based on susceptibilities
- Call family with results
- If symptoms are improving, can consider remaining on original abx and contact PCP

On incorrect abx based on susceptibilities
- Call family with results
- Change abx
- "The urine culture grew a bacteria that is not treated by the antibiotic your child is currently receiving. We will change the antibiotic to one that treats this bacteria"

Inclusion Criteria
- Child discharged with presumed UTI.
- UCx pending

Return to Outpatient

For questions concerning this pathway, contact: UTI@seattlechildrens.org
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Age Categories: Overview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Infants</td>
<td>birth to 60 days of age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-toilet trained children</td>
<td>greater than 60 days of age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toilet trained children</td>
<td>up to 12 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adolescents</td>
<td>13 years and older or sexually active</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### ATYPICAL Urinary Tract Infection

- UTI with one of the following properties:
  - Seriously ill
  - Poor urine flow: oliguria not due to dehydration, or urinary retention; urine output less than 1 ml/kg/hour
  - Abdominal or bladder mass
  - Elevated creatinine (eGFR < 80 ml / min / 1.73 m²)
  - Septicemia
  - Failure to respond to treatment with suitable antibiotics within 48 hours
  - Infection caused by organism other than *Escherichia coli*

### TYPICAL Urinary Tract Infection

- UTI without any of the above conditions

---

*This categorization of typical vs. atypical UTI is based on a descriptive study of 180 infants aged 1 to 24 months with acute pyelonephritis (Jantunen, 2001). This study found that risk factors for significant urinary tract abnormalities included: younger infants (1 to 6 months of age), urine infected with organisms other than *Escherichia coli*, infants with a positive blood culture, or a lack of *papG* adhesin genes in patients infected with *Escherichia coli*. The other findings appear to have been generated by NICE committee consensus as representing risk factors where further imaging would be warranted.*
### Presumed Versus Definite UTI Definitions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presumed Urinary Tract Infection</th>
<th>definite Urinary Tract Infection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Children &gt;60 days</strong>: defined by a combination of clinical features and a positive urinalysis (shows bacteria, leukocyte esterase, nitrite, and/or ≥5 WBC/hpf)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Children ≤60 days</strong>: defined by clinical features and a consideration of urinalysis results (see next page)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Guideline, AAP, 2011*

For infants >60 days, both a positive UA and a positive urine culture are used to establish a diagnosis of UTI (AAP Clinical Guideline 2011, 2016)

- Positive UA defined as presence of bacteria, trace or >LE, +nitrite, and/or ≥5 WBC/hpf

For infants ≤60 days, a positive urine culture, with a consideration of the UA results, are used to establish a diagnosis of UTI

- The probability of a positive urine culture with a completely negative UA is 0.5-4% in this age group (Crane 1990, Dayan 2002, Velasco 2015, Tzimenatos 2017)
- The likelihood ratio (LR) of a positive UA is 10.5 (95% CI 9.4-11.6) and the LR of a negative UA is 0.07 (95% CI 0.04-0.10) (Migita et al, unpublished meta-analysis)
Culture results defining a definite UTI are:

• Single predominant organism (one organism meets criteria below, all other organisms do not meet criteria below) (📸📸📸📸, Seattle Children's UTI Pathway 2011)
  o ≥ 100,000 colony forming units (CFU)/ml for clean catch
  o ≥ 50,000 CFU/ml for in- and out- catheterization and suprapubic aspiration
## Diagnosis: Adolescent and Sexually Active Patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>In adolescents and sexually active pre-adolescents:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Clinicians must document a sexual history and an external genitourinary examination.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Clinicians should perform a bimanual examination in females if clinically indicated (e.g., in cases of pelvic pain). (Seattle Children’s UTI Pathway 2011)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Additional lab testing to consider in this population:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• “Dirty” urine for Gonococcus (GC) and Chlamydia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• If GC/Chlamydia positive, consider Syphilis Screen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• HSV: Culture Visible lesions or cervical culture if indicated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Annual HIV Screen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Pregnancy Testing in females</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

One ED study of adolescents 12 to 25 years of age presenting with urinary complaints found that 49% of sexually active patients were not tested (Musacchio, Gehani & Garofalo 2009). Of those tested, 12/43 had chlamydia or gonorrhea, and 13/43 had a positive urine culture. Another study of sexually active females aged 14-22 ascertained through teen health centers and emergency rooms ((Huppert et al. 2007) found the prevalence of UTI and sexually transmitted infection (STI) to be 17 and 33%, respectively.
• Suprapubic aspiration (SPA) is an available option if there is difficulty obtaining a catheterized specimen.

• Additionally, UAs may be falsely (+) in uncircumcised infant boys.

• SPA may be offered to parents and performed in the following circumstances:
  o Uncircumcised infant boy with positive cath screening tests (urinalysis, microscopy)
  o Operationally difficult to obtain a catheterized specimen

• The following criteria must be met prior to performing SPA:
  o Provider with demonstrated competency available (consult Urology, Nephrology, or Neonatology for teaching or help performing SPA)
  o Ultrasound guidance available
  o With agreement of family after discussion of risks/benefits
Overuse of broad spectrum antibiotics has lead to emergence of resistant *E. coli* and other Gram-negatives

- ~80% of first-time UTIs are due to *E. coli*
- 3rd generation cephalosporins such as oral cefixime are **NOT** recommended as first-line empiric therapy.
- Narrow spectrum (1st generation) cephalosporin such as cephalexin is recommended.
  - Note: cephalosporins should not be used where *enterococci* are suspected, due to intrinsic resistance.
  - In case of cephalosporin allergy, trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole is an alternative.
- Antibiotic therapy should always be targeted to the sensitivities of the organism when those sensitivities are known.

Further rationale for recommending empiric cephalexin therapy included factors such as cost and percent of antibiotic excreted unchanged in the urine.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cephalexin (Keflex)</th>
<th>Cefuroxime (Ceftin)</th>
<th>Cefixime (Suprax)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Approximate Daily Dosing</td>
<td>50 mg/kg/day (divided QID)</td>
<td>30 mg/kg/day (divided BID)</td>
<td>Day 1: 16 mg/kg/day Subsequent days: 8 mg/kg/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost (suspension)</td>
<td>250 mg/5ml (200 ml) = $29.55 or $0.148/ml</td>
<td>250 mg/5ml (100ml) = $166.64 or $1.67/ml</td>
<td>100 mg/5ml (50ml) = $168.37 or $3.37/ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost/ day for a 10 kg patient</td>
<td>10 ml x $0.148 = $1.48</td>
<td>6 ml x $1.67/ml = $10.02</td>
<td>8 ml x $3.37/ml = $26.96 (day 1) 4 ml x $3.37/ml = $13.48 (subsequent)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% excreted unchanged in urine</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Treatment: Rationale for Cephalexin

- Cephalexin is highly concentrated in the urine (~100 fold)
- Most *E. coli* are susceptible to cephalexin (=cefazolin) in the urine, even when susceptibility testing based on treatment for *bloodstream* infections report intermediate or resistant susceptibility
- Some children would be expected to respond to treatment with cephalexin even when their *urinary isolate* was reported intermediate or resistant to cefazolin.
- Questions can be directed to the ID service if questions about antibiotic choice for resistant organisms.

In January 2011, the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) published new minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) breakpoints for cefazolin against Enterobacteriaceae. These new breakpoints were largely based on data from *bloodstream infections in adults*. Following adoption of this new standard in March 2011, Seattle Children's antibiograms gave the false impression that intrinsic resistance of *E. coli* to cefazolin was increasing. Because of this, the Microbiology lab now includes a comment for *E. coli* isolates from the urine discussing this issue.
Current epidemiology differs dramatically from that during the Hoberman study (1992-1997) which recommended empiric cefixime. (Hoberman, 1999)

Resistance to 3rd generation cephalosporins is rising around the world.

The UTI Pathway recommends a susceptible narrow-spectrum agent. Avoiding routine use of broad-spectrum antibiotics for empiric treatment of infections helps prevent further resistant and promotes antibiotic stewardship.
Treatment: "Switch Therapy" (IV to Oral Antibiotics)

- Strong evidence supports the equivalence of long-course IV therapy, "switch" therapy of 2-4 days of IV therapy followed by PO therapy, and PO therapy alone. (Guideline, NICE 2013)
- Limited data available for early “switch” therapy in infants 0-30 days of age.
- Because of small numbers of infants and children with bacteremia in these studies, results are also not easily generalizable to this specific patient population.

Treatment: “Switch Therapy”
(infants <30 days of age)

- Given the high incidence of bacteremia (12.4%) and potential for suboptimal blood culture volumes in infants, the committee recommended 7 days of IV therapy followed by 7 days of oral therapy for this age group regardless of blood culture results.
- The assumed pathogenesis of UTI in infants 0-30 days of age is an initial bloodstream infection independent of blood culture result. (Expert Opinion)
- Given this relatively short course of IV antibiotics, Peripherally Inserted Central Catheters (PICCs) are not necessary. (Expert Opinion)
Treatment: UTI With Positive Blood Cultures
Children >30 days of age

- Given limited evidence to guide duration of therapy in patients with UTI with associated bacteremia, local expert consensus was reached after literature review and discussion by Infectious Disease Division.
- Increasing evidence suggests that early transition to orals antibiotics in patients with bacteremia may be equally effective as prolonged IV therapy.

Current pathway recommendation for patients >30 days of age with bacteremia:
- 7d IV + 7d PO therapy = total duration 14 days
- May consider early transition to oral antibiotics if clinically back to baseline, afebrile x 24hrs, repeat blood culture negative x48hrs, and good oral option available
- Only 1 repeat blood culture is necessary following initial positive as this is negative in the majority of cases
- Consider ID Consult in case of atypical UTI, early transition to orals in children 1-6 months old, any other ID related questions
A systematic review conducted to examine the appropriate treatment of cystitis concluded that in children 3 months to 18 years of age, a 2-4 day course of systemic antibiotics appeared as effective as a 7-14 day course of systemic antibiotics in eradicating lower tract UTI in children (Michael, 2009), however, it was noted that this analysis pooled many small studies with wide age ranges. The committee felt that given the difficulty in definitively distinguishing between lower and upper tract UTI in the younger age groups, this recommendation was most appropriate.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Empiric therapy for presumed UTI</th>
<th>Treatment strategy</th>
<th>Treatment duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Infants (0-30 days)</td>
<td>IV ampicillin + gentamicin or IV ampicillin + cefotaxime</td>
<td>7 days IV antibiotics, then 7 days PO</td>
<td>14 days **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>If admitted (e.g., febrile): IV ceftriaxone OR IV ampicillin + gentamicin if cocci / Enterococcus suspected</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>If outpatient: IM ceftriaxone OR po cephalaxin</td>
<td>IV antibiotics until afebrile x 24 hours (minimum 36 hours IV with negative blood cultures), then switch to PO to complete course if responding</td>
<td>14 days **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-toilet trained</td>
<td>IV ampicillin + gentamicin if cocci / Enterococcus suspected</td>
<td>For inpatients: IV antibiotics until identification and sensitivities return, then switch to targeted PO to complete course if responding</td>
<td>10-14 days* **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>children</td>
<td>If outpatient: PO cephalaxin OR PO cefuroxime OR if cephalospin allergy, PO trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole OR IM ceftriaxone</td>
<td>For outpatients: target antibiotics when identification and sensitivities return</td>
<td>7-14 days* **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7 days **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3 days if cystitis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* When there is a treatment range, use longer courses if slower to respond to therapy
** Refer to UTI + bacteremia slides for treatment duration and switch to oral recommendations
| Expect clinical improvement within 48 hours | Fever should resolve by 72 hours. In patients that have not clinically improved in 48 hours despite appropriate antibiotic therapy, ensure renal ultrasound has occurred and consider specialty consult. (Guideline, AAP 2011) |
| Patient persistently febrile | CT is considered gold standard, as RUS does not always detect perinephric abscess. However, depending on patient characteristics, RUS may be more appropriate first line imaging study. (Expert Opinion) |
| Further imaging | If considering further imaging, consult radiology to discuss ultrasound vs. CT scan and need for contrast in CT scan. (Expert Opinion) |
Follow-up urine cultures for test of cure are not routinely indicated. (O3O, Seattle Children's UTI Pathway 2011)

- Two retrospective reviews support the lack of usefulness of follow-up urine cultures at 48 hours. One study of 364 children < 18 years of age showed that of 291 follow-up urine cultures, none were positive (Currie, 2003). Another study of 599 children hospitalized and treated with UTI with 328 having a urine culture at 48 hours found only one positive culture (Oreskovic, 2007).
It is felt that a RUS is a radiation free, high yield initial screen for identifying anatomical abnormalities in children up to 36 months of age (Guideline, AAP 2011)

Studies suggest that third-trimester prenatal ultrasounds were highly likely to identify the anatomical abnormalities on postnatal ultrasound (Guideline, AAP 2011)

If third-trimester prenatal ultrasound images could be obtained and reviewed by radiology, may be sufficient to preclude obtaining a repeat ultrasound at the time of infection if patient under 30 days of age (Expert Opinion)
The Society for Fetal Urology (SFU) developed an ultrasonography grading system for hydronephrosis in 1993 based on renal sinus splitting patterns and dilation of the renal pelvis and calyces.

Though SFU grading is currently being applied to RUS interpretation at Seattle Children's, local expert consensus recommends using all RUS findings to guide clinicians in VCUG decision making rather than SFU grade alone.

Per the 2011 AAP Recommendation on imaging following first urinary tract infection, "VCUG is indicated if renal and bladder ultrasonography reveals hydronephrosis, scarring, or other findings that would suggest either high-grade VUR or obstructive uropathy and in other atypical or complex clinical circumstances."
VCUG is the definitive test for vesicoureteral reflux (VUR).

Although VCUG is felt to be the best imaging study for detection of VUR, it is no longer necessary for most patients. (Guideline, AAP 2011).

VCUG is not a good study for detection of acute pyelonephritis or to delineate renal parenchymal anatomy.

VCUG is an invasive test that involves fluoroscopy. Children may need sedation to tolerate the procedure.

Diagnostic Imaging: Radionuclide VCUG

OPTION:

- Radionuclide VCUG may be used in place of fluoroscopic VCUG for initial detection of VUR in females. This study offers a lower radiation dose, although with lesser anatomic detail and limited spatial resolution.

- If considering a Radionuclide Study, consult Radiology to discuss appropriateness of this test

Although VCUG is felt to be the best imaging study for detection of VUR, it is no longer necessary for most patients.

- Approximate prevalences of VUR among girls age 0 to 18 yrs are: Grade I: 7%; Grade II: 22%; Grade III: 6%; Grade IV: 1%; Grade V: < 1%). Antibiotic prophylaxis is not felt to be helpful for patients with no reflux or grade I-III reflux (AAP 2011, Cincinnati 2006, Chand 2003)

- This suggests that over 30 VCUGs would need to be performed to find a patient with high grade (IV-V) reflux.
Leroy 2013 and 2011 studies address the issues of utility of Procalcitonin in guiding decision making for imaging following first febrile UTI, specifically VCUG vs DMSA

Procalcitonin may help predict upper vs lower tract disease and may help identify which patients are at risk for scarring

However, procalcitonin may not be useful if drawn after start of therapy. Additionally, it is a send-out test with a lengthy turnaround time

Local consensus: Procalcitonin is not recommended at this time. Will re-evaluate in setting of further evidence.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>VUR &lt;3</th>
<th>VUR ≥3</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>PPV</th>
<th>NPV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PCT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥0.5</td>
<td>272 (58)</td>
<td>44 (83)</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
<td>201 (42)</td>
<td>9 (17)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCT</td>
<td>≥1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>201 (43)</td>
<td>39 (74)</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>265 (57)</td>
<td>14 (26)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCT</td>
<td>≥2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>134 (28)</td>
<td>31 (58)</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>18.8%</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>339 (72)</td>
<td>22 (42)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dilation on RUS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>60 (13)</td>
<td>19 (38)</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>402 (87)</td>
<td>31 (62)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Leroy, 2011)
Criteria for Referral to Urology

- Children under 6 years of age with recurrent UTIs
- Abnormal imaging: Anatomic abnormality detected on Ultrasound or VCUG including complex congenital urologic problems such as:
  - Renal parenchymal loss or kidney size discrepancies
  - duplex systems
  - ureterocele
  - bladder or cloacal exstrophy
  - grade III-V vesicoureteral reflux
  - posterior urethral valves
  - other structural abnormalities of genitourinary development, such as persistent genitourinary sinus or cloacal abnormalities

- If uncertain if patient’s medical condition requires Urology management please consult Urology to discuss further
- DMSA Scan is an imaging study used to assess renal scarring approximately 12 months post-UTI and should be ordered via consultation with Urology
When a VCUG is indicated, clinicians should prescribe antibiotic prophylaxis for patients until VCUG is performed.

**Infants <2 months:**
- amoxicillin 20 mg/kg, up to 500 mg once daily

**Infants >2 months to children <18 years:**
- trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole 2 mg/kg of trimethoprim up to 80 mg once daily, OR
- nitrofurantoin 1 mg/kg up to 100 mg once daily
Antibiotic Prophylaxis if VUR is Found

- Ongoing antibiotic prophylaxis is **not** routinely recommended for patients with first febrile urinary tract infection, or with low grade (I-III) vesicoureteral reflux (VUR). In setting of Grade III VUR, please discuss need for antibiotic prophylaxis with Urology.

- Multiple randomized trials examined the relationship between the effectiveness of antibiotic prophylaxis in different patient populations. This recommendation was reaffirmed by the 2014 RIVUR Study (★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★
## Value Analysis: Positive Blood Cultures

### Description of Care Treatment Option

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DIMENSION</th>
<th>CARE OPTION A</th>
<th>CARE OPTION B</th>
<th>PREFERRED OPTION</th>
<th>ASSUMPTIONS MADE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All children with bacteremia receive minimum 7 days IV antibiotics</td>
<td>Children with bacteremia can transition early from IV to PO based on clinical criteria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Operative Factors

| Percent adherence to care (goal 80%) | 90% | 100.00% | OPTION B | |
|Care delivery team effects | maintaining IV access for 7 days is problematic; may | | | |

### Benefits / Harms (Quality/Outcome)

| Degree of recovery at discharge | NEUTRAL | assumes that IV and oral are equivalent |
|Effects on natural history of the disease over equivalent time | NEUTRAL |
|Potential to cause harm | prolonged hospitalization risk of less adherence if sent home early | UNKNOWN |
|Palatability to patient/family | OPTION B |
|Population-related benefits | N/A |
|Threshold for population-related benefits reached | | |

### Cost (Arisings from Options A or B) - express as cost per day

| “ROOM RATE” (5 or time to recovery) | $6,000 (additional 3 days) | No additional cost | OPTION B LESS EXPENSIVE |
| “Dx/Rx” costs ($) | $38/day x 3 additional days | $29/day for 3 additional days | OPTION B LESS EXPENSIVE |

### Cost (Complications/adverse effects arising from Options A or B) - express as cost per day

| “ROOM RATE” (5 or time to recovery) | IV infiltrations and IV lost | | opcion B LESS EXPENSIVE |
| “Dx/Rx” costs ($) | | | |

### Step 3: Apply Value Analysis Grid

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COST</th>
<th>A &gt; B</th>
<th>A = B</th>
<th>A &lt; B</th>
<th>Unclear</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A costs more than B</td>
<td>Make value judgement</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Do B and PDSA in 1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A and B costs are the same</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A or B, operational factors may influence choice</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>A or B, operational factors may influence choice, PDSA in 1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B costs more than A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Make value judgement</td>
<td>Do A and PDSA in 1 year</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Step 4: Make CSW Value Judgement

Due to the uncertainty surrounding the difference between Option A and B to produce harm, the committee did not feel that it could provide a strong recommendation to change current practice in favor of Option B. Consensus was reached between the committee, Hospital Medicine and Infectious Diseases to maintain current state but to provide guidance on when it would be appropriate to consider early transition to PO therapy (afebrile for 24hrs, repeat blood culture negative for 48hrs and good oral option available). The committee will review local outcomes data in 1 year to determine if there is enough data to inform the potential to cause harm variable.
Urinary Tract Infection (UTI) Approval & Citation

Approved by the CSW Urinary Tract Infection (UTI) for April 8, 2015

CSW UTI Team:

Hospital Medicine, Owner: Rebecca Taxier, MD
Emergency Medicine, Owner: Derya Caglar, MD
Emergency Medicine, CNS: Sara Fenstermacher, RN
Hospital Medicine, CNS: Kristi Klee, MSN, RN-BC
Laboratory: Xuan Qin, PhD
Radiology: Randy Otto, MD
Urology: Richard Grady, MD

Clinical Effectiveness Team:

Consultant: Claudia Crowell, MD
Project Leader: Elizabeth Austin, MPH
KM Analyst: Susan Stanford, MPH, MSW
CIS Informatician: Michael Leu, MD, MS, MHS
CIS Analyst: Heather Marshall
Librarian: Susan Klawansky, MLS
Program Coordinator: Ashlea Tade

Executive Approval:

Sr. VP, Chief Medical Officer: Mark Del Beccaro, MD
Sr. VP, Chief Nursing Officer: Madlyn Murrey, RN, MN
Surgeon-in-Chief: Bob Sawin, MD

Retrieval Website: http://www.seattlechildrens.org/pdf/UTI-pathway.pdf

Example:
This pathway was developed through local consensus based on published evidence and expert opinion as part of Clinical Standard Work at Seattle Children’s. Pathway teams include representatives from Medical, Subspecialty, and/or Surgical Services, Nursing, Pharmacy, Clinical Effectiveness, and other services as appropriate.

When possible, we used the GRADE method of rating evidence quality. Evidence is first assessed as to whether it is from randomized trial or cohort studies. The rating is then adjusted in the following manner (from: Guyatt G et al. J Clin Epidemiol. 2011;4:383-94.):

Quality ratings are downgraded if studies:
- Have serious limitations
- Have inconsistent results
- If evidence does not directly address clinical questions
- If estimates are imprecise OR
- If it is felt that there is substantial publication bias

Quality ratings are upgraded if it is felt that:
- The effect size is large
- If studies are designed in a way that confounding would likely underreport the magnitude of the effect OR
- If a dose-response gradient is evident

Guideline – Recommendation is from a published guideline that used methodology deemed acceptable by the team.

Expert Opinion – Our expert opinion is based on available evidence that does not meet GRADE criteria (for example, case-control studies).

Note about periodic reviews: The CSW team conducts a full literature search for pathways every 3-5 years, reviewing literature since the previous pathway version and updating all recommendations with new evidence as applicable. The evidence ratings shown on pathway pages represent literature graded across pathway iterations:
- If the newer evidence is higher than the previous, the grade reflects the new evidence.
- If the evidence level is the same as the previous pathway iteration, the grade remains the same (representing a combination of old and new literature).
- If the evidence level is lower in the new literature, both the new literature and the old pathway may be cited (graded separately).
- If there is no new evidence, the previous pathway evidence and grade are cited.
Summary of Version Changes

Version 1.0 (12/2/2011): Go-live
Version 2.0 (12/3/2011): Expanded recommendation for empiric outpatient antibiotics to include oral cephalexin or oral cefuroxime
Version 2.3 (4/3/2013): Removed race from the decision to treat parameter; included information on timing of obtaining a VCUG; expanded discussion about cephalexin still being first-line treatment for E. coli in UTI
Version 3.0 (6/3/2014): Added additional content/information regarding the VCUG and SFU grade with a link to the SFU grade training slide
Version 4.0 (4/8/2015): Periodic review, full literature search completed, multiple changes made to algorithm and power plan
Version 4.1 (7/6/2015): Formatting updates to bibliography
Version 5.0 (9/29/15): Updated inclusion/exclusion criteria to coincide with Nephrolithiasis pathway go live. Addition to imaging section specifically renal ultrasound to consider Nephrolithiasis pathway if stones are present.
Version 6.0 (1/20/16): CSW value analysis performed including review of positive blood culture recommendation.
Version 7.0 (2/26/2016): Updated thresholds for positive urine cultures to better align with most recent AAP Guidelines.
Version 7.1 (11/22/16): Updated approval page to include Laboratory
Version 8.0 (10/31/18): Updated UTI diagnosis criteria to include UA results and added UA’s false negative rate and LR from literature review.
Medicine is an ever-changing science. As new research and clinical experience broaden our knowledge, changes in treatment and drug therapy are required.

The authors have checked with sources believed to be reliable in their efforts to provide information that is complete and generally in accord with the standards accepted at the time of publication.

However, in view of the possibility of human error or changes in medical sciences, neither the authors nor Seattle Children’s Healthcare System nor any other party who has been involved in the preparation or publication of this work warrants that the information contained herein is in every respect accurate or complete, and they are not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the results obtained from the use of such information.

Readers should confirm the information contained herein with other sources and are encouraged to consult with their health care provider before making any health care decision.
Studies were identified by searching electronic databases using search strategies developed and executed by a medical librarian, Peggy Cruse. Searches were performed in March 2018. The following databases were searched: Ovid MEDLINE (including Epub ahead of print, in-process, and other non-indexed citations), EMBASE, Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, National Guideline Clearinghouse, and TRIP. In MEDLINE and EMBASE, appropriate Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) and Emtree headings were used respectively, along with text words, and the search strategy was adapted for other databases using their controlled vocabularies, where available, along with text words. Concepts searched were the diagnosis of urinary tract infections or urinalysis. Retrieval was limited to records indexed with any pediatric age tag, records without age-indexing, or records containing pediatric text words. Retrieval was further limited to synthesis-level studies, using a standard search filter employed for all Clinical Effectiveness pathways; or primary diagnostic studies. All items were limited to English and those published from January 2008 to date. Records were exported to RefWorks for deduplication, then to Excel for screening. The team added seven citations not retrieved with the search strategy parameters.

Peggy Cruse, MLIS  
October 18, 2018

**Clinical Question #1:** For infants <2 year of age, what is the sensitivity and specificity of the following components of the urinalysis, alone or in combination - leukocyte esterase (LE), nitrites, WBC/hpf - in diagnosing urinary tract infections?

**Clinical Question #2:** In children age ≤90 days, what percentage of febrile infants had a positive urine culture with an entirely negative urinalysis?


Literature Search, **Urinary Tract Infection (UTI)**, Clinical Standard Work

Studies were identified by searching electronic databases using search strategies developed and executed by a medical librarian, Susan Klawansky. Searches were performed in May and October, 2014. The May 2014 search was performed in the following databases: on the Ovid platform – Medline (July 2010 to date), Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (2010 to date); elsewhere – Embase (July 2010 to date), Clinical Evidence, National Guideline Clearinghouse and TRIP (all 2010 to date). Retrieval was limited to English language and ages 0-18, except for the question relating to VCUG, which was limited to infants ages 0-2. In Medline and Embase, appropriate Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) and Emtree headings were used respectively, along with text words, and the search strategy was adapted for other databases using textwords alone. Concepts searched were urinary tract infection, pyelonephritis, cystitis, bacteriuria, pyuria and vesico-ureteral reflux/VCUG. All retrieval was further limited to certain evidence categories such as relevant publication types, Clinical Queries, index terms for study types and other similar limits.

An additional search was conducted in October 2014 in the following databases: on the Ovid platform – Medline and Central Register of Controlled Clinical Trials; elsewhere – Embase. All were searched from 2010 to current, results limited to English and ages 0-18. Search strategies were constructed as above, using index terminology and textwords as appropriate. Concepts searched were anti-bacterial agents, drug administration routes, intravenous injections or infusions, oral administration, drug administration schedule, bacteremia and blood culture – searched independently of urinary tract infections. All retrieval was further limited to certain evidence categories such as relevant publication types, Clinical Queries, index terms for study types and other similar limits.

Additional articles were identified by team members and added to results.
Identification (Question 1-4)

485 records identified through database searching

2 additional records identified through other sources

Screening

439 records after duplicates removed

439 records screened

406 records excluded

Eligibility

33 records assessed for eligibility

18 full-text articles excluded, 2 did not answer clinical question, 9 did not meet quality threshold, 7 outdated relative to other included study

Included

15 studies included in pathway

Flow diagram adapted from Moher D et al. BMJ 2009;339:bmj.b2535

Identification (Question 5)

192 records identified through database searching

4 additional records identified through other sources

Screening

196 records after duplicates removed

196 records screened

192 records excluded

Eligibility

4 records assessed for eligibility

0 full-text articles excluded

Included

4 studies included in pathway

Flow diagram adapted from Moher D et al. BMJ 2009;339:bmj.b2535


